UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/569,950	04/10/2006	Norio Nakatani	4731-0128PUS1	3089	
2292 7590 05/16/2008 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 EALLS CHURCH VA 22040 0747			EXAMINER		
			PATEL, PUNAM		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2855		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/16/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/569,950	NAKATANI, NORIO	
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PUNAM PATEL	2855	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Fina</u>	al Rejection	
(1) <u>Punam Patel (PTO)</u> .	(3)		
(2) Paul C. Lewis (Applicant's Representative).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 10 April 2008	Time: <u>4:20PM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed: JP 62-195558			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
(A _j	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if ε	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicant's IDS submitted on 02/28/2006 had not been considered since the foreign documents cited had not been provided prior to the first office action dated 12/06/2007. Applicant faxed the missing documents on 4/10/2008. After reviewing the documents Examiner notified the Applicant that the previously indicated allowability of Claims 3 and 5 will be withdrawn based on the newly provided reference: JP-62-195558.

Examiner proposed including the limitations of Claim 8 into Claim 1 in order to overcome a 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of the claims based on JP-62-195558; consequently placing the application in condition for allowance. Applicant argued that since JP-62-195558 was in Japanese, it was unclear as to whether the reference met the limitations of Claims 3/5.

No agreement was reached. Enclosed herewith is the Final Office Action with a rejection of claim(s) based on a certified translation of JP-62-195558.